



Student Academic Appeals Policy

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Scope of the Policy	1
3.	Grounds for Appeal.....	2
4.	Academic Appeals Procedure	3
5.	Academic Appeals Panel	3
6.	Outcome Review	5
7.	Completion of Procedures, and The Office of the Independent Adjudicator (OIA)	6

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The Policy and Procedures set out here are intended to provide a clear and impartial process for handling student appeals against assessment results or a decision of a committee or equivalent body which affects a student's academic status or progress in NMITE as detailed in 2.1.
- 1.2 In preparing this document, NMITE has been informed by the QAA UK Quality Code for Higher Education, and the Good Practice Framework for handling complaints and academic appeals produced by the Office of the Independent Adjudicator.
- 1.3 NMITE recognises that it has a duty to act fairly, which both informs and underpins all its processes when dealing with students.

NMITE is committed to addressing appeals made under this policy in a manner that:

- i. where appropriate, seeks to resolve issues informally through the student support system.
- ii. treat appeals fairly, transparently and with appropriate seriousness.
- iii. is carried out in a reasonable and transparent timescale.
- iv. is in accordance with NMITE's published policies and practices
- v. has due regard to any applicable law.

2. Scope of the Policy

- 2.1 This Policy and the procedures herein should be used if a student wishes to appeal against a final decision of an Assessment Panel, or a committee or equivalent body which affects their academic status or progress, including (but not limited to):
 - i. the result of a formal assessment or the award of a particular degree classification.

- ii. a requirement that a student interrupt their studies on grounds of unsatisfactory progress or failure to meet academic or professional requirements.
 - iii. a decision that that a student be expelled from NMITE or be excluded from their programme, element of programme, or study, on grounds of unsatisfactory progress or failure to meet academic or professional requirements or arising from unsatisfactory work and attendance.
- 2.2 This Policy does not apply to appeals relating to any of the following:
- i. Admissions - please refer to NMITE's Admissions Policy.
 - ii. Academic Misconduct - please refer to NMITE's Academic Misconduct Policy.
 - iii. Student Disciplinary - please refer to NMITE's Student Disciplinary Policy.
 - iv. Disability and Reasonable Adjustments – please refer to NMITE's Disability Support and Reasonable Adjustments Policy.
 - v. Complaints which relate to concerns about the provision of a service or facility - please refer to NMITE's Student Complaints Resolution Procedure.
- 2.3 NMITE seeks to resolve academic appeals as quickly as possible and normal timescales are included within this policy. In some circumstances, a student or NMITE may not be able to meet the normal timescales for a good reason and all parties will be kept informed of any changes to timescales.
- (*working days are defined as a Monday to Friday working week, excluding UK Public Holidays).
- 2.4 No student appealing under this Policy, whether successfully or otherwise, shall be treated less favourably than would have been the case had an appeal not been made.

3. Grounds for Appeal

- 3.1 If a student wishes to appeal, they must do so on their own behalf. An appeal submitted by a representative will not be accepted unless accompanied by written authorisation from the student.
- 3.2 A student may appeal against a decision of an Assessment Panel on one or more of the following grounds:
- i. Where the student provides written evidence in support of a claim that performance in the assessment was adversely affected by extenuating circumstances which they were unable or, for valid reasons, unwilling to divulge before the Assessment Panel reached its decision, or,
 - ii. Where there is clear evidence, whether provided by the student or otherwise, that:
 - there has been a material administrative error, and/or
 - the assessments were not conducted in accordance with the regulations for the programme and/or special arrangements formally agreed, or

- some other material irregularity relevant to the Assessment Panel’s decision has occurred.

3.3 Students cannot appeal on any ground which:

- disputes an academic judgement which was arrived at following due process (and it should therefore be noted that there is no provision for appeal against the academic judgement of the Examiners), or,
- has already been considered and rejected by the Extenuating Circumstances Committee, unless additional evidence in support of the original claim is provided for the purpose of the appeal, and there is a valid reason why the additional evidence was not submitted with the original claim for extenuating circumstances.

3.4 Prior to taking a final decision as to whether to request a review or not, students are encouraged to contact their Personal Tutor to attempt to resolve the issue(s) informally.

4. Academic Appeals Procedure

4.1 There are two possible stages included within the Student Academic Appeals Procedure at NMITE:

- The formal appeals process – Assessment Appeals Panel
- The Outcome Review

Students must go through the formal appeals process before they can submit a request for a review.

5. Academic Appeals Panel

5.1 If a student decides to submit an application for an appeal, they must meet all the criteria listed in paragraph 5.2.

5.2 For an appeal to be valid it must meet all the following criteria:

- be made in writing on a Student Academic Appeals Form.
- specify the ground(s) for the appeal among those set down in paragraph 3.2.
- state the title and code of the module affected and the assessment period/year in respect of which the appeal is being made.
- include all appropriate supporting evidence. Appeals without any independent supporting evidence shall not be considered.
- be dated and include the student’s full name, student number, preferred method of contact and signature.
- be submitted to the Director of Student Lifecycle – by email to registrar@nmite.ac.uk (the student will receive confirmation of receipt within 3 working days*). Postal submissions are not advised and NMITE cannot accept any responsibility for the late receipt of any such appeals submitted by post.

- vii. be received within 20 working days* of the date of publication of the result(s) of the assessment(s) concerned, unless there are evidenced extenuating circumstances which prevented the student from doing so.
- 5.3 Upon receipt, each Academic Appeal submission will be checked by the Director of Student Lifecycle (or nominee) and a member of the NMITE Quality Office within 5 working days*, to ensure the criteria have been met by the student.
- 5.4 If the submission meets the criteria, the Assessment Appeals Panel will be convened; if the submission fails the validity checks, the student will be contacted in writing to explain the reasons why the Academic Appeal they have submitted is ineligible. In this event, the student will be issued with a Completion of Procedures Letter ref. Section 9
- 5.5 If the submission meet the criteria, the relevant module lead and programme lead will be informed and asked to provide any information or evidence they believe is relevant.
- 5.6 Once the appeal has been submitted to the Director of Student Lifecycle, the student may continue with their studies and/or assessments without prejudice to the outcome of the appeal, pending the conclusion of the process.
- 5.7 Where the original decision of the Assessment Board was to discontinue/terminate a student's studies, any credit a student attains by engaging with their studies whilst the appeal is being progressed will be declared null and void if the appeal is dismissed. Therefore, any such credit attained cannot contribute to the conferment of an intended or intermediate award.
- 5.8 The appeal will be considered by the Assessment Appeals Panel, consisting of the following:
- The Academic Director (or nominee)
 - The Director of Student Lifecycle (or nominee)
 - An academic not currently associated with the appellant and/or with the issue(s) under review
 - A member of the Quality Office (who will not be associated with the delivery of the programme and its assessments)
- No member of the Academic Appeal Panel shall consider an appeal in which they have a direct interest or involvement
- 5.9 The Assessment Appeals Panel will meet within 20 working days* of receipt of the appeal. Arrangements for the Academic Appeals Panel, including date and location will be made by NMITE. Students may choose to attend the Academic Appeals Panel via video-link.
- 5.10 If the student is unable to attend the scheduled Academic Appeals Panel, they may request that their case be deferred, and a new panel date will be arranged. However, in this situation, the student should be aware that this may delay consideration of their case for a number of weeks.
- 5.11 If a student chooses not to attend, or is unable to make a second proposed date, the appeal will be decided in absentia, based on the evidence presented.

- 5.12 During the Academic Appeals Panel, the student will be invited to present their case. The student may have a friend, or a fellow student accompany them to provide appropriate support, guidance, or representation. However, a friend may not be a lawyer acting in a professional capacity.
- 5.13 The student may present relevant written evidence to the Academic Appeals Panel, either in addition or in lieu of their attendance, and this must normally be provided 5 working days* in advance of the hearing, unless suitable evidence can be provided to support the delay of their submission (for example, medical evidence).
- 5.14 After the student has presented their case, the student and their representative will be asked to leave the meeting, and the Academic Appeals Panel will then consider all the evidence submitted and determine the outcome.
- 5.15 The Academic Appeals Panel may decide on one of the following outcomes:
- i. uphold the appeal and substitute an alternative result, or,
 - ii. reject the appeal, in which case the student will be given reasons for the decision. or,
 - iii. refer the matter back to the original Assessment Board for reconsideration taking into account the new information or any guidance from the Panel.
 - The reconvened Assessment Board will have the power to confirm or alter its original decision. Where the original decision is confirmed, the student will be given reasons for that decision. or,
 - revoke the original decision of the Assessment Board and, as appropriate, require that the student be provided with a further opportunity to satisfy the requirements for continuation on the programme or element of the programme by way of granting a further assessment resit or re-mark of an assessment.
- 5.16 The student will be notified in writing of the Panel outcome within 5 working days* of the Panel meeting and will be issued with a Completion of Procedures letter.
- 5.17 In the event that the appeal is upheld, the decision of the Assessment Appeals Panel will be communicated to the relevant Assessment Board and the Director of Student Lifecycle will amend the student's record accordingly.
- 5.18 In the event that the appeal is rejected, both the relevant Assessment Board will be informed but no further action taken.

6. Outcome Review

- 6.1 If the appeal is rejected or if the student is dissatisfied with the outcome of the Academic Appeal panel, they may be able to request a review. A request for a review will only be considered on the following grounds:
- i. A review of the procedures followed at the appeal stage
 - ii. A consideration of whether the outcome was reasonable in all the

circumstances

- iii. New material evidence which the student was unable, for valid reasons, to provide earlier in the process.
- 6.2 The review stage will not normally consider the issues afresh or involve a further investigation.
- 6.3 A request for a review must be submitted to the Director of Student Lifecycle – via email to registrar@nmite.ac.uk (for which the student will receive confirmation of receipt within 3 working days*). Postal submissions are not advised and NMITE cannot accept any responsibility for the late receipt of any such appeals submitted by post. The request for review must be submitted within 5 working days* of the student being notified of the outcome of the Academic Appeals Panel.
- 6.4 Upon receipt, each Academic Appeal submission will be checked by the Director of Student Lifecycle (or nominee) to ensure the criteria, within 7.1 have been met. If the submission meets the criteria, the Director of Student Lifecycle (or nominee) will allocate the request for review to a designated member of staff not involved at any previous stage.
- 6.5 It is expected that reviews will be completed within 10 working days* of receipt. Where a review identifies issues with the outcome of the case at the appeal stage, the review will be upheld, and the matter will be referred back to the Academic Appeal Panel for reconsideration. Reconsideration of the case will be concluded as soon as possible, and reconsideration of the case by the Academic Appeals Panel may take place by correspondence. The student will not be involved in the reconvened Academic Appeals Panel.
- 6.6 If the academic appeal is not upheld, the outcome of the review stage will be communicated to the student in writing by issuing a Completion of Procedures Letter as soon as possible and within 5 working days*. In the event that the appeal is rejected, the relevant Assessment Board will be informed but no further action taken.
- 6.7 Where an academic appeal is upheld, the student will receive notification in writing within 5 working days*, explaining the outcome and how and when any remedy will be implemented. The decision of the Review Stage will be communicated to the relevant Assessment Board and the Director of Student Lifecycle will amend the student's record accordingly. The decision made by the reviewer as part of the Outcome Review is final.
- 7. Office of the Independent Adjudicator (OIA)**
- 7.1 If a student believes that the internal appeal process has been incorrectly conducted or feels that the outcome is unreasonable in relation to the evidence, then they have the right to raise the matter for external and independent review by the Office of the Independent Adjudicator (OIA). Information on the process may be obtained directly from the OIA at: <http://www.oiahe.org.uk> and will be included in the letter of completion. The complaint needs to be submitted to the OIA within 12 months of the date of the Completion of Procedures Letter.
- 7.2 NMITE report annually to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator on the number of

Completion of Procedures issued.

Policy Owner	Director of Student Lifecycle	
Version Number	10	
Date Policy (Re)Approved	08/2024	
Approval authority	Academic Council	
Date of Commencement	01/09/2024	
Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) completed	May 2022	
Amendment History	Date	Reason for Update
	08/2023	Annual review
	05/2024	Annual review
Summary of changes made to this version	<p>Change of Term – Academic Appeals Board to Academic Appeals Panel throughout</p> <p>Removal of reference to ‘stages’</p> <p>5.3 inclusion of Quality Office in eligibility check</p> <p>5.5 informing ML/PL of submission added</p> <p>5.8 removal of CAO as a panel member/removal of student as a panel member and clarity of membership of panel</p> <p>Removal of Section 6 and information on communication included in Section 5</p> <p>Section 7 – Review Stage renamed Outcome review</p> <p>Removal of previous Section 8 and information about communication included in Section 7</p> <p>Removal of Section 10 – information is included in the Student EDI Policy and the Student Disability Support and Reasonable Adjustments Policy.</p> <p>Removal of section 11 – Reporting to bring in line with other Academic Registry policies</p> <p>Addition of 7.2 – annual reporting to OIA</p>	
Date for next review	08/2025	
Related Policies, Procedures, Guidance, Forms or Templates	<p>Assessment Policy</p> <p>Academic Regulations</p> <p>Extenuating Circumstances Policy</p> <p>Leave of Absence Policy</p> <p>Academic Misconduct Policy</p> <p>Student Disciplinary Policy</p>	
Policies superseded by this Policy	V9.0 – 23_25	